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## Introduction

The current building code requires that masonry chimney walls be 12 " thick or greater in order for baseboards, flooring, or combustible ceilings to directly contact them. This requirement is overly restrictive and makes it difficult to build chimneys to code, as few outside chimney walls are 12 " or greater from the inside of the flue.

## Background

Older fireplaces and chimneys were usually built in contact with combustibles. If they were massive enough or part of a masonry wall, 4 " thick chimney walls were considered safe. If the chimney had only one or two flues and was built in a wood frame house, the chimney walls were built 8 " thick as they passed through the roof.

In 1998, the IRC development committee accepted a proposal to allow combustible materials to be in contact with masonry fireplaces if the fireplace walls were at least 12 " thick. The same 12 " rule was conservatively applied to chimneys despite the fact that the required minimum thickness of chimney walls with an airspace is $4 "$ thick - half the thickness of the minimum required thickness of firebox walls with an airspace.

Builders now have a problem building a masonry fireplace or a masonry chimney when it comes to clearances to combustibles. If the 12 " distance between the masonry wall and the inside of the flue cannot be met, then the current I-codes require a 4 " masonry chimney surrounded by a $2 "$ airspace clearance to combustibles. The airspace can be filled only with fireblocking and flashing. No builder wants to leave 2 " of airspace around the chimney, through the roof, blocked off with only fire stopping and roof flashing. They want to seal off and insulate that space, and many custom builders want to trim the masonry chimney with wood.

A strict interpretation of the current code is essentially unbuildable and discourages architects from specifying and builders from building masonry chimneys. It is therefore necessary to allow an alternate, equally-safe chimney design consisting of 8 " of solid masonry in contact with combustibles.

The purpose of this report and the testing described herein is to revisit that excessively conservative decision to require 12 " thick masonry walls when in direct contact with combustibles in light of historical precedent and actual building practice. It will be shown that 8 " solid masonry walls are equally safe (Figure 1 b ) if not safer than 4 " masonry walls plus a $2^{\prime \prime}$ airspace (Figure 1 a ), and it will be proposed that chimneys with walls at least 8 " thick be permitted to abut combustible materials.


Figure 1 - Cross-sectional Diagram of (a) a Code-Compliant Chimney and (b) the Proposed Allowable Configuration

## Objective

The test objective was to determine that a chimney built so that the clay flue liner is enclosed with 8 " of solid masonry in contact with combustible materials is at least as safe as the current code requirement that the clay flue liner be enclosed with 4 " of solid masonry plus 2 " of airspace to combustible materials.

## Success Criteria

The objective will be considered met if the temperature profiles of the combustibles in contact with the 8 " thick masonry were below the temperature profiles of combustibles $2^{\prime \prime}$ clear of a $4 "$ thick masonry chimney wall (the code compliant condition).

## Validation Approach

Validation of the test results was performed by comparing test data with calculated values from transient heat transfer calculations.

## Experimental

The experiment was performed by building a masonry chimney where one side was built to code $-4 "$ thick masonry wall plus a $2^{\prime \prime}$ airspace to combustibles - and the opposite side was built with 8 " thick masonry in direct contact with combustibles. The chimney was then subjected to flue gas temperatures representing an over fire or chimney fire condition.

## Test Engineer

Testing was performed by Ralph Ruark, who has worked in the ceramic industry for forty years and is a licensed Professional Engineer in Pennsylvania and Florida. He holds dual degrees in Ceramic Engineering and Business Administration from Rutgers University.

Prior to forming his own company, Ralph worked at Director and Vice President level positions with Lenox China, Bickley/Riedhammer and Freeport Brick and Refractories Company. He currently serves as Senior Technical Editor of "Ceramic Industry" magazine.

## Procedures

Test procedures were based on the testing protocol proscribed by UL 1777 - the Standard for Chimney Liners. UL 1777 requires a flue gas temperature of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ until equilibrium is reached or for eight hours, whichever comes first. The max allowable temperature of any combustible material during this phase is $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient temperature. The test then requires a $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ flue gas temperature for one hour where combustibles are allowed to reach up to $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient temperature. Finally, there are three ten-minute spikes to a $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ flue gas temperature with an hour cool time in between each spike. The allowable temperature of the combustibles during this final stage of testing is up to $175^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient. The UL 1777 test standard is summarized in Table 1.

Table 1 - Stages within UL 1777 Chimney Test Standard

|  | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flue Gas Temperature | $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ spikes |
| Length of Stage | The shorter of 8 hours or <br> until equilibrium is reached | 1 hour | 3 ten-minute spikes with one- <br> hour cool time in between spikes |
| Acceptance Criteria for the Temperature <br> of the Inner Side of Combustibles | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $175^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |

## Construction

A test chimney was built at Superior Clay Corp. using a 12 "x12" clay flue lining. One side of the clay flue was built to code, enclosed with 4 " of brick and two inches of airspace. The other side of the chimney wall was composed of $8 "$ thick masonry by adding $4 "$ blocks. See Figure 2 a for a diagram and Figure 2 b for a photograph of the test configuration.

The entire configuration was then enclosed in plywood, as depicted in Figure 2 c. The exposed side in Figure 2 b had a four-inch space between the flue and the plywood that was filled with insulation. Ceramic fiber insulation was used for the first 4 feet where the anticipated temperatures would be elevated, and then fiberglass insulation was used against the flue the rest of the way up the chimney.


Figure 2 - (a) Diagram of the Chimney Test Configuration, (b) Photograph of the Test Chimney to Show the Masonry Configuration and (c) a Photograph Showing the Final Appearance after a Plywood Enclosure was Installed

## Results \& Discussion

Results and discussion of experimental testing as well as backup calculations performed to validate the experimental results are described in the following subsections.

## Experimental Results

The chimney testing was performed in two phases. The first phase was performed on September 14,2012 , and consisted of eight hours at a flue gas temperature of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. The second phase was performed on September 20, 2012. The ending temperatures from the first phase on the code-compliant side were duplicated with a flue gas temperature of $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, and the chimney was subjected to the last stage of the UL 1777 test - three spikes to $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for ten minutes with an hour cooling time between each spike. Table 2 displays a summary of the results from the test.

Table 2 - Results of Experimental Chimney Testing per UL 1777 Acceptance Criteria

|  | Stage 1 | Stage 2 | Stage 3 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flue Gas Temperature | $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ spikes |
| Length of Stage | The shorter of 8 hours or <br> until equilibrium is reached | 1 hour ${ }^{1}$ | 3 ten-minute spikes with one- <br> hour cool time in between spikes |
| Acceptance Criteria for the temperature <br> of the inner side of combustibles | $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> above Ambient | $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> above Ambient | $175^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ <br> Above Ambient |
| Code Compliant Side | Time to Failure | 4 hours | Failed before <br> Test Began |
|  | Max Temp | $240^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Failed before temperature spikes <br> began |
| $\mathbf{8 "}^{\prime \prime}$ Masonry Side | Time to Failure | 6 hours | No Failure $^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |

1. The UL test performs each stage in series. The tests described in this report were performed on two separate occasions. The chimneys therefore had an opportunity to cool between stages $1 \& 2$. Stage 3 was begun as soon as the interior of the plywood on the code compliant side reached the ending temperatures from Stage 1. Data reported for Stage 2 correspond to the 4 -hour duration that the flue gas temperature was $1400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ in order to bring the code-compliant side of the chimney up to temperature.

In all three stages of the test, the 8 " masonry side outperformed the code-compliant side of the chimney.

## Phase 1 Testing

The first phase of testing was performed on September 14, 2012 and consisted of Stage 1 in Table 1. Results are shown below, in Table 3. The two columns labeled "Flue" are the temperature readings from the thermocouples located inside the flue liner measuring the flue gas temp. They are 2 feet apart vertically - the lower one being the hottest during firing.

The three columns labeled " 8 " M " ( 8 " Masonry) are the temperature readings from the thermocouples at the three hottest recorded spots on the inside surface of the combustibles on the $8^{\prime \prime}$ thick masonry side. There was zero clearance between the 8 " thick masonry and the combustibles.

The three columns labeled "CC" (Code Compliant) are the temperature readings from the thermocouples at the three hottest recorded spots on the inside surface of the combustibles on the 4 " masonry plus $2^{\prime \prime}$ airspace clearance side.

The column labeled "Ambient" shows the temperature readings from the thermocouple set up to measure the ambient outside temperature. The last two columns show the difference between the highest recorded temperature on each side of the chimney and the ambient temperature.

Table 3 - Temperature Reading Results (in ${ }^{\circ}$ F) from the First Phase of Testing

| Time | Flue 1 | Flue 2 | CC 1 | CC 2 | CC 3 | 8" M 1 | 8'M 2 | 8' M 3 | Ambient | CC <br> Above <br> Ambient | 8" M <br> Above Ambient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 71 | 71 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 56 | 10 | 10 |
| 1 hr | 1000 | 1008 | 66 | 71 | 74 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 56 | 18 | 10 |
| 2 hr | 1000 | 1005 | 94 | 102 | 111 | 85 | 78 | 79 | 56 | 55 | 29 |
| 3 hr | 1001 | 992 | 127 | 132 | 137 | 111 | 102 | 104 | 58 | 79 | 53 |
| 4 hr | 1001 | 993 | 168 | 165 | 175 | 147 | 142 | 142 | 57 | $118{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 90 |
| 5 hr | 1002 | 995 | 200 | 201 | 197 | 144 | 160 | 168 | 74 | $127^{\text {a }}$ | 90 |
| 6 hr | 1000 | 992 | 220 | 226 | 227 | 167 | 170 | 170 | 78 | $149{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $92{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 7 hr | 1000 | 992 | 230 | 232 | 236 | 191 | 194 | 197 | 83 | $153{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $114{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| 8 hr | 1002 | 995 | 231 | 231 | 240 | 203 | 202 | 205 | 83 | $157{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $122{ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| After 1 hr Cooling | 387 | 423 | 211 | 215 | 214 | 217 | 220 | 220 | 85 | $130{ }^{\text {a }}$ | $135{ }^{\text {a }}$ |

a. Data values failed the UL 1777 acceptance criteria.

Per UL 1777, the maximum allowable temperature rise of any combustible material during the $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ stage is $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient. The code compliant side ( 4 " masonry plus 2 " airspace) failed in four hours. The $8^{\prime \prime}$ masonry side in contact with combustibles made it to six hours before the failure criterion of $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient was reached.

The airspace on the 4 " thick side of the test chimney was confined both at the top and bottom. It was noted that the combustibles on the inside of that airspace were hotter near the top of the chimney where the flue gasses were cooler, indicating that convection in the airspace allowed the hot air to rise.

Phase 2 Testing
As stated in the Objective, the purpose of this test was to compare the code-compliant configuration with the proposed allowable configuration. Therefore, the $1400{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ stages (Stages $2 \& 3$ in Table 1) of the UL 1777 test were still performed even though the chimney failed the UL test acceptance criterion during Stage 1, the eight-hour $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ stage.

On September 20, 2012, the temperature of the combustibles on the code-compliant side of the chimney was brought up to about the same temperature $\left(\sim 230{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)$ as they were at the end of the first phase testing. This was accomplished by firing the chimney at $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for four hours. The results of the second phase testing are displayed in Table 4.

Table 4 - Temperature Reading Results (in ${ }^{\circ}$ F) from the Second Phase of Testing

| Time | Flue 1 | Flue 2 | CC 1 | CC 2 | CC 3 | 8' M 1 | 8' M 2 | 8' M 3 | Ambient | CC <br> Above <br> Ambient | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{M} \\ \text { Above } \\ \text { Ambient } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 47 | 49 | 51 | 52 | 49 | 52 | 49 | 51 | 47 | 5 | 5 |
| 1 hr | 1400 | 1338 | 71 | 71 | 76 | 52 | 53 | 53 | 52 | 24 | 1 |
| 2 hr | 1403 | 1358 | 137 | 142 | 143 | 61 | 62 | 65 | 55 | 88 | 10 |
| 3 hr | 1403 | 1355 | 208 | 215 | 218 | 87 | 94 | 101 | 61 | $157{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 40 |
| 4 hr | 1401 | 1357 | 243 | 236 | 222 | 127 | 125 | 119 | 60 | $183{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 67 |
| $10 \mathrm{~min} \text { hold }$ @2100 | 2100 | 1911 | 236 | 242 | 221 | 107 | 113 | 114 | 61 | $181{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 53 |
| after 1 hr of cooling | 702 | 693 | 235 | 241 | 248 | 136 | 143 | 147 | 64 | $184{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 83 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 10 \text { minute } \\ & \text { hold @ } 2100 \end{aligned}$ | 2100 | 1928 | 239 | 241 | 248 | 131 | 135 | 137 | 62 | $186^{\text {a }}$ | 75 |
| after $1 \mathbf{h r}$ cooling | 637 | 714 | 229 | 268 | 270 | 135 | 138 | 143 | 64 | $206{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 79 |
| 10 min hold <br> @ 2100 | 2073 | 1962 | 218 | 257 | 255 | 127 | 127 | 136 | 64 | $193{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 72 |
| Peak Temp During $21 / 2$ hr Cooling | 329 | 406 | 206 | 287 | 288 | 139 | 139 | 180 | 63 | $225{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 117 |

a. Data values failed the UL 1777 acceptance criteria.

Note that the temperatures on the 8 " thick side did not rise above the $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient limit after the four-hour preheating, emphasizing the effect of thermal mass.

The UL 1777 test acceptance criteria allow a $140^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ rise above ambient in the $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ stage and a $175{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ rise above ambient in the $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ spikes stage. Note that even the code-compliant side didn't fail by much, and the 8 " masonry side in contact with combustibles didn't come close to failing. The chimney just had too much mass.

## Assumptions Implicit within Testing Procedure

During the second phase of the test, the $8^{\prime \prime}$ masonry side of the chimney did not fail the UL 1777 test acceptance criteria for allowable temperature rise of the combustibles. This should bring into question the assumptions behind the test. UL 1777 is designed for metal chimneys which achieve equilibrium with $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ flue gas temperatures in about 20 minutes. Masonry chimneys may take hours to achieve equilibrium. The eight-hour specification during the first phase is too short to estimate an equilibrium temperature when such significant thermal mass is present, as with this test. It became apparent that UL 1777 is written for low-mass chimneys and is not an entirely appropriate test for a masonry chimney with high thermal mass, but little insulation.

Furthermore, a $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ flue gas temperature is arbitrarily high. Wood-burning fireplaces only have flue gas temperatures of $300-400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ under normal conditions. Wood-fired stoves and furnaces may reach as high as $500^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. Chimney fires, though rare now with more efficient appliances, usually last no more than half an hour. It's difficult to imagine such an over fire condition lasting four hours let alone eight hours.

These test results showed clearly that masonry chimneys are very good at protecting against over fire conditions lasting up to four hours and also against extreme, but quick-burning chimney fires.

## Additional Testing

During the Phase 2 tests, the masonry chimneys performed very well, with the temperature of the combustibles rising no more than $50^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above their starting temperatures. A modified test run was therefore performed at a $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ flue gas temperatures for one hour immediately followed by an increased flue gas temperature of $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for thirty minutes. This is the equivalent of running the three $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ spikes together to create a more extreme chimney fire test condition.

Even during this more stringently modified test, both the code-compliant side and the $8^{\prime \prime}$ masonry side passed with ease. At the end of the $1400^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ phases of the test, the $8^{\prime \prime}$ masonry side in contact with combustibles was a full $71^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ cooler than the code-compliant side, again indicating that building chimney walls $8^{\prime \prime}$ thick in contact with combustible materials is at least as safe as building chimneys with 4 " thick walls $2^{\prime \prime}$ clear of combustible materials, as the current code requires.

## Calculation Results

Transient heat transfer calculations were performed in Microsoft Excel 2010 for the conditions present during the first phase testing to compare the experimental results with calculated values. The one-dimensional heat transfer model equations used are Equations 1, 2, and 3, below.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{d T}{d t}=\frac{q_{\text {in }}-q_{\text {out }}}{c_{P} \cdot \rho \cdot D} & (\text { Equation 1) } \\
\boldsymbol{q}=\boldsymbol{h} \cdot \boldsymbol{d} \boldsymbol{T} & (\text { Equation 2) } \\
\quad \text { or } & (\text { Equation 3) }
\end{array}
$$

Where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T=\text { Temperature, }{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \\
& t=\text { Time, } s \\
& q=\text { Heat Flow, } \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2}} \\
& \rho=\text { Density, } \frac{\mathrm{kg}}{\mathrm{~m}^{3}} \\
& D=\text { Depth of Material, } m \\
& c_{P}=\text { Specific Heat Capacity of Material, } \frac{\mathrm{J}}{\mathrm{~kg} \cdot{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}} \\
& h=\text { Convective Heat Transfer Coefficient }, \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{~m}^{2 \cdot} \mathrm{C}} \\
& k=\text { Thermal Conductivity, } \frac{\mathrm{W}}{\mathrm{~m}^{\circ} \mathrm{C}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation 1 was integrated stepwise for each material in series with known boundary conditions. The step size was one second, and the calculation was performed for a total of 36 hours at a constant flue gas temperature of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. This yielded close to 13,000 data points for each parameter calculated: the temperature on the inside face of each material, the temperature on the outside face of each material, and the heat transfer through each individual material.

The heat transfer through convection-when a material is bordered by air-is performed with Equation 2, and the heat transfer calculation through a solid material, conduction, is performed with Equation 3.

The parameter values utilized for each material are listed in Table 5, and the units of each parameter are consistent with those described above, after Equation 3. Values were gathered from www.engineeringtoolbox.com, and the convective heat transfer coefficients were chosen from within accepted ranges to most closely match the results of the experimental data.

Table 5 - Material Parameters for the Heat Flow Calculations

| Material | $\boldsymbol{c}_{\boldsymbol{P}}$ | $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}$ | $\boldsymbol{h}$ | $\boldsymbol{T}_{\text {initial }}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flue Gas Air | - | - | - | - | 5.5 | 537.8 |
| Clay Liner | 900 | 1920 | 0.0254 | 1.4 | - | 21.1 |
| 8" Brick Masonry | 900 | 1920 | 0.2032 | 0.89 | - | 21.1 |
| 4" Brick Masonry | 900 | 1920 | 0.1060 | 0.89 | - | 21.1 |
| Air in Airspace (Conduction) | 1012 | 1.204 | 0.0508 | 0.025 | - | 21.1 |
| Air in Airspace (Convection) | - | - | - | - | 20 | 21.1 |
| Plywood | 1210 | 540 | 0.0127 | 0.12 | - | 21.1 |
| Mineral Wool Insulation | 840 | 100 | 0.0508 | 0.04 | - | 21.1 |

Four temperature profile calculations were performed on the chimney. The first was for the code-compliant configuration assuming that no convection took place inside the $2^{\prime \prime}$ airspaceonly conduction. This is an ideal calculation where the air acts as a layer of very efficient insulation. The next calculation was for the same physical, code-compliant configuration, however significant convection was assumed to occur in the $2 "$ airspace. This approach is more consistent with the testing results, as the data shows, and assumes that the air in the 2 " airspace is well mixed with minimal to no temperature gradients. The third calculation was to investigate the effect of filling the $2^{\prime \prime}$ space with mineral wool insulation. The fourth calculation was for the proposed, $8 "$ thick masonry wall configuration.

In total, there were close to 700,000 individual data points calculated during the four chimney temperature-profile calculations.

Figure 3 shows a graph of the four calculated temperature profiles as well as the experimental temperature readings from the Phase 1 Chimney Test.


Figure 3 - Temperature Profiles for the Inside of the Plywood
It is clear from the results of testing that as heating progressed, the temperature profile of the inside of the plywood on the code-compliant side more closely matches the heat flow calculation where the convective heat transfer mechanism is assumed in the 2 " airspace. The convective mode of heat transfer, which is the reality of what was observed, is over 15,000 times more efficient than the conductive mode of heat transfer through the 2 " airspace, which is the intent of
the airspace. Being free of insulation to prohibit air movement, the insulative purpose of the airspace (the "Ideal" line) is not being accomplished. Filling the airspace with insulation would bring the temperature profile of the inside of the plywood down closer to the "Ideal" line, as the dotted line displays.

An $8 "$ thick masonry chimney wall is at least as safe as the actual performance of the wall configuration that the code currently permits because of the convective modes of heat transfer that occur. Tabular results from the heat transfer calculations are included in Appendix A.

## Conclusions

The 8' thick chimney walls in contact with combustibles outperformed the code compliant configuration of $4 "$ thick chimney walls plus a 2 " airspace in each stage of the test.

The combustibles on the code compliant side reached $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient temperature within four hours at a flue gas temperature of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, whereas it took the combustibles in contact with the $8^{\prime \prime}$ masonry side six hours to reach $90^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above ambient. After eight hours at a flue gas temperature of $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$, the temperature of the combustibles on the code-compliant side was $35^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above the temperature of the combustibles on the 8 " masonry side. The difference is even more profound during the $2100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ flue gas temperature spike portion of the test, where the temperature of combustibles on the code-compliant side peaked at over $100^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ above the temperature of combustibles on the 8 " masonry side.

As further shown by the heat transfer calculations, because of the convective heat transfer mechanism occurring within the 2 " airspace, the insulative purpose of the airspace is not being accomplished. The space is instead acting as a pretty efficient conductor of heat-more so than solid brick.

It can be concluded that building chimney walls with $8^{\prime \prime}$ thick masonry in contact with combustible materials is at least as safe as building chimneys with 4 " thick masonry walls 2 " clear of combustible materials, which is current code.
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Appendix A - Tabular Heat Flow Calculation Results

Tabular Results from the heat flow calculation for the proposed allowable 8 " thick masonry chimney wall configuration. Temperature values are in degrees Feirenheight.

| $\begin{aligned} & \begin{array}{l} \text { Time } \\ (\mathbf{H r}) \end{array} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \text { Flue Gas } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \text { Inside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline T \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | T Inside Masonry | T Outside Masonry | Inside plywood | T Outside Plywood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 1000 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.01 | 1000 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.25 | 1000 | 154 | 84 | 84 | 73 | 73 | 70 |
| 0.5 | 1000 | 178 | 104 | 104 | 79 | 79 | 70 |
| 0.75 | 1000 | 196 | 124 | 124 | 85 | 85 | 70 |
| 1 | 1000 | 214 | 143 | 143 | 91 | 91 | 70 |
| 1.25 | 1000 | 231 | 162 | 162 | 97 | 97 | 70 |
| 1.5 | 1000 | 247 | 179 | 179 | 103 | 103 | 70 |
| 1.75 | 1000 | 263 | 197 | 197 | 108 | 108 | 70 |
| 2 | 1000 | 279 | 213 | 213 | 114 | 114 | 70 |
| 2.5 | 1000 | 307 | 244 | 244 | 124 | 124 | 70 |
| 3 | 1000 | 334 | 274 | 274 | 133 | 133 | 70 |
| 3.5 | 1000 | 359 | 301 | 301 | 142 | 142 | 70 |
| 4 | 1000 | 382 | 326 | 326 | 150 | 150 | 70 |
| 4.5 | 1000 | 404 | 349 | 349 | 157 | 157 | 70 |
| 5 | 1000 | 424 | 370 | 370 | 164 | 164 | 70 |
| 5.5 | 1000 | 442 | 391 | 391 | 170 | 170 | 70 |
| 6 | 1000 | 459 | 409 | 409 | 176 | 176 | 70 |
| 6.5 | 1000 | 475 | 426 | 427 | 182 | 182 | 70 |
| 7 | 1000 | 490 | 443 | 443 | 187 | 187 | 70 |
| 7.5 | 1000 | 504 | 457 | 458 | 192 | 192 | 70 |
| 8 | 1000 | 517 | 471 | 471 | 196 | 196 | 70 |
| 8.5 | 1000 | 529 | 484 | 484 | 201 | 201 | 70 |
| 9 | 1000 | 540 | 496 | 496 | 204 | 204 | 70 |
| 9.5 | 1000 | 550 | 507 | 507 | 208 | 208 | 70 |
| 10 | 1000 | 560 | 518 | 518 | 211 | 211 | 70 |
| 11 | 1000 | 577 | 536 | 536 | 217 | 217 | 70 |
| 12 | 1000 | 591 | 552 | 552 | 222 | 222 | 70 |
| 13 | 1000 | 604 | 566 | 566 | 227 | 227 | 70 |
| 14 | 1000 | 615 | 578 | 578 | 230 | 230 | 70 |
| 15 | 1000 | 624 | 588 | 588 | 234 | 234 | 70 |
| 16 | 1000 | 632 | 597 | 597 | 237 | 237 | 70 |
| 17 | 1000 | 639 | 604 | 604 | 239 | 239 | 70 |
| 18 | 1000 | 646 | 611 | 611 | 241 | 241 | 70 |
| 19 | 1000 | 651 | 616 | 616 | 243 | 243 | 70 |
| 20 | 1000 | 655 | 621 | 621 | 244 | 244 | 70 |
| 21 | 1000 | 659 | 625 | 625 | 246 | 246 | 70 |


| Time (Hr) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{T} \\ \text { Flue Gas } \end{gathered}$ | T Inside Flue | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | T Inside Masonry | T Outside Masonry | T Inside plywood | T Outside Plywood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22 | 1000 | 662 | 629 | 629 | 247 | 247 | 70 |
| 23 | 1000 | 665 | 632 | 632 | 248 | 248 | 70 |
| 24 | 1000 | 668 | 635 | 635 | 249 | 249 | 70 |
| 25 | 1000 | 670 | 637 | 637 | 250 | 250 | 70 |
| 26 | 1000 | 672 | 639 | 639 | 250 | 250 | 70 |
| 27 | 1000 | 673 | 641 | 641 | 251 | 251 | 70 |
| 28 | 1000 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 251 | 251 | 70 |
| 29 | 1000 | 676 | 644 | 644 | 252 | 252 | 70 |
| 30 | 1000 | 677 | 645 | 645 | 252 | 252 | 70 |
| 31 | 1000 | 678 | 646 | 646 | 252 | 252 | 70 |
| 32 | 1000 | 679 | 647 | 647 | 253 | 253 | 70 |
| 33 | 1000 | 679 | 647 | 647 | 253 | 253 | 70 |
| 34 | 1000 | 680 | 648 | 648 | 253 | 253 | 70 |
| 35 | 1000 | 680 | 649 | 649 | 253 | 253 | 70 |
| 36 | 1000 | 681 | 649 | 649 | 253 | 253 | 70 |

Tabular Results from the heat flow calculation for the code-compliant chimney wall configuration with 4 " of masonry and a $2^{\prime \prime}$ airspace. Temperature values are in degrees
Feirenheight. The flue gas temperature is constant at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ throughout the calculation.

| Time (Hr) | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside Flue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | T Inside Masonry | T Outside Masonry | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Air Space } \end{gathered}$ | $T$ Inside plywood | T Outside Plywood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.01 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.25 | 161 | 96 | 96 | 85 | 80 | 75 | 70 |
| 0.5 | 197 | 132 | 132 | 108 | 97 | 87 | 70 |
| 0.75 | 229 | 165 | 165 | 129 | 114 | 98 | 70 |
| 1 | 258 | 197 | 197 | 150 | 129 | 109 | 70 |
| 1.25 | 286 | 226 | 226 | 169 | 144 | 119 | 70 |
| 1.5 | 312 | 254 | 254 | 187 | 157 | 128 | 70 |
| 1.75 | 336 | 280 | 280 | 203 | 170 | 137 | 70 |
| 2 | 358 | 304 | 304 | 219 | 182 | 145 | 70 |
| 2.5 | 399 | 347 | 347 | 247 | 203 | 159 | 70 |
| 3 | 434 | 385 | 385 | 271 | 222 | 172 | 70 |
| 3.5 | 465 | 418 | 418 | 293 | 238 | 183 | 70 |
| 4 | 492 | 446 | 446 | 311 | 252 | 193 | 70 |
| 4.5 | 515 | 471 | 471 | 327 | 264 | 201 | 70 |
| 5 | 535 | 493 | 493 | 342 | 275 | 209 | 70 |
| 5.5 | 553 | 512 | 512 | 354 | 285 | 215 | 70 |
| 6 | 569 | 529 | 529 | 365 | 293 | 221 | 70 |
| 6.5 | 582 | 543 | 543 | 374 | 300 | 226 | 70 |
| 7 | 594 | 556 | 556 | 382 | 306 | 230 | 70 |
| 7.5 | 604 | 567 | 567 | 389 | 312 | 234 | 70 |
| 8 | 613 | 577 | 577 | 396 | 316 | 237 | 70 |
| 8.5 | 621 | 585 | 585 | 401 | 321 | 240 | 70 |
| 9 | 628 | 592 | 592 | 406 | 324 | 242 | 70 |
| 9.5 | 634 | 599 | 599 | 410 | 327 | 245 | 70 |
| 10 | 639 | 604 | 604 | 414 | 330 | 246 | 70 |
| 11 | 648 | 613 | 613 | 419 | 335 | 250 | 70 |
| 12 | 654 | 620 | 620 | 424 | 338 | 252 | 70 |
| 13 | 659 | 626 | 626 | 427 | 340 | 254 | 70 |
| 14 | 663 | 630 | 630 | 430 | 342 | 255 | 70 |
| 15 | 666 | 633 | 633 | 432 | 344 | 256 | 70 |
| 16 | 668 | 635 | 635 | 433 | 345 | 257 | 70 |
| 17 | 670 | 637 | 637 | 435 | 346 | 257 | 70 |
| 18 | 671 | 638 | 638 | 435 | 347 | 258 | 70 |
| 19 | 672 | 639 | 639 | 436 | 347 | 258 | 70 |
| 20 | 672 | 640 | 640 | 437 | 348 | 258 | 70 |


| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & (\mathbf{H r}) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Inside Masonry } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | T Outside Masonry | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Air Space } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Inside plywood | T Outside Plywood |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21 | 673 | 640 | 640 | 437 | 348 | 259 | 70 |
| 22 | 673 | 641 | 641 | 437 | 348 | 259 | 70 |
| 23 | 674 | 641 | 641 | 437 | 348 | 259 | 70 |
| 24 | 674 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 348 | 259 | 70 |
| 25 | 674 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 348 | 259 | 70 |
| 26 | 674 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 348 | 259 | 70 |
| 27 | 674 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 28 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 29 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 30 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 31 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 32 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 33 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 34 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 35 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |
| 36 | 675 | 642 | 642 | 438 | 349 | 259 | 70 |

Tabular Results from the heat flow calculation for the code-compliant chimney wall configuration with 4 " of masonry and a $2^{\prime \prime}$ airspace if the heat transfer mechanism through the airspace were limited by conduction. Temperature values are in degrees Feirenheight. The flue gas temperature is constant at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ throughout the calculation.

| Time $(\mathbf{H r})$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Inside Flue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Inside Masonry } \end{gathered}$ | T Outside Masonry | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Inside Air } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Air } \end{gathered}$ | Inside plywood | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Plywood } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.01 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.25 | 161 | 96 | 96 | 95 | 95 | 71 | 71 | 70 |
| 0.5 | 198 | 133 | 133 | 130 | 130 | 72 | 72 | 70 |
| 0.75 | 231 | 169 | 169 | 164 | 164 | 74 | 74 | 70 |
| 1 | 263 | 203 | 203 | 196 | 196 | 75 | 75 | 70 |
| 1.25 | 293 | 235 | 235 | 227 | 227 | 77 | 77 | 70 |
| 1.5 | 322 | 266 | 266 | 256 | 256 | 79 | 79 | 70 |
| 1.75 | 349 | 296 | 296 | 284 | 284 | 80 | 80 | 70 |
| 2 | 375 | 324 | 324 | 311 | 311 | 81 | 81 | 70 |
| 2.5 | 424 | 377 | 377 | 361 | 361 | 84 | 84 | 70 |
| 3 | 469 | 425 | 425 | 407 | 407 | 86 | 86 | 70 |
| 3.5 | 510 | 469 | 469 | 449 | 449 | 88 | 88 | 70 |
| 4 | 547 | 509 | 509 | 487 | 487 | 90 | 90 | 70 |
| 4.5 | 581 | 546 | 546 | 522 | 522 | 92 | 92 | 70 |
| 5 | 611 | 579 | 579 | 553 | 553 | 94 | 94 | 70 |
| 5.5 | 640 | 610 | 610 | 582 | 582 | 95 | 95 | 70 |
| 6 | 665 | 637 | 637 | 608 | 608 | 96 | 96 | 70 |
| 6.5 | 689 | 663 | 663 | 632 | 632 | 98 | 98 | 70 |
| 7 | 710 | 686 | 686 | 654 | 654 | 99 | 99 | 70 |
| 7.5 | 729 | 707 | 707 | 674 | 674 | 100 | 100 | 70 |
| 8 | 747 | 726 | 726 | 692 | 692 | 101 | 101 | 70 |
| 8.5 | 763 | 743 | 743 | 709 | 709 | 101 | 101 | 70 |
| 9 | 778 | 759 | 759 | 724 | 724 | 102 | 102 | 70 |
| 9.5 | 792 | 774 | 774 | 738 | 738 | 103 | 103 | 70 |
| 10 | 804 | 787 | 787 | 751 | 751 | 104 | 104 | 70 |
| 11 | 825 | 810 | 810 | 773 | 773 | 105 | 105 | 70 |
| 12 | 843 | 829 | 829 | 791 | 791 | 106 | 106 | 70 |
| 13 | 858 | 845 | 845 | 806 | 806 | 106 | 106 | 70 |
| 14 | 870 | 859 | 859 | 819 | 819 | 107 | 107 | 70 |
| 15 | 880 | 870 | 870 | 829 | 829 | 108 | 108 | 70 |
| 16 | 889 | 879 | 879 | 838 | 838 | 108 | 108 | 70 |
| 17 | 896 | 886 | 886 | 845 | 845 | 108 | 108 | 70 |
| 18 | 902 | 893 | 893 | 851 | 851 | 109 | 109 | 70 |
| 19 | 907 | 898 | 898 | 856 | 856 | 109 | 109 | 70 |


| Time <br> (Hr) | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside Flue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Inside Masonry } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Outside } \\ \text { Masonry } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{T} \\ \text { Inside Air } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Air } \end{gathered}$ | $T$ Inside plywood | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Plywood } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 911 | 902 | 902 | 860 | 860 | 109 | 109 | 70 |
| 21 | 914 | 906 | 906 | 864 | 864 | 109 | 109 | 70 |
| 22 | 917 | 909 | 909 | 867 | 867 | 109 | 109 | 70 |
| 23 | 919 | 912 | 912 | 869 | 869 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 24 | 921 | 914 | 914 | 871 | 871 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 25 | 923 | 916 | 916 | 873 | 873 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 26 | 924 | 917 | 917 | 874 | 874 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 27 | 926 | 918 | 918 | 875 | 875 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 28 | 926 | 919 | 919 | 876 | 876 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 29 | 927 | 920 | 920 | 877 | 877 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 30 | 928 | 921 | 921 | 878 | 878 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 31 | 928 | 921 | 921 | 878 | 878 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 32 | 929 | 922 | 922 | 879 | 879 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 33 | 929 | 922 | 922 | 879 | 879 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 34 | 930 | 923 | 923 | 879 | 879 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 35 | 930 | 923 | 923 | 880 | 880 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 36 | 930 | 923 | 923 | 880 | 880 | 110 | 110 | 70 |

Tabular Results from the heat flow calculation for the chimney wall configuration with 4" of masonry surrounded by a $2^{\prime \prime}$ thickness of mineral wool insulation before the plywood.
Temperature values are in degrees Feirenheight. The flue gas temperature is constant at $1000^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ throughout the calculation.

| Time <br> (Hr) | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Inside Masonry } \end{gathered}$ | T Outside Masonry | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Inside Air } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Air } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside plywood } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Plywood } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.01 | 78 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 | 70 |
| 0.25 | 161 | 96 | 96 | 87 | 87 | 71 | 71 | 70 |
| 0.5 | 197 | 132 | 132 | 119 | 119 | 73 | 73 | 70 |
| 0.75 | 230 | 167 | 167 | 152 | 152 | 75 | 75 | 70 |
| 1 | 261 | 200 | 200 | 183 | 183 | 78 | 78 | 70 |
| 1.25 | 290 | 232 | 232 | 212 | 212 | 80 | 80 | 70 |
| 1.5 | 318 | 262 | 262 | 241 | 241 | 82 | 82 | 70 |
| 1.75 | 345 | 291 | 291 | 268 | 268 | 84 | 84 | 70 |
| 2 | 371 | 319 | 319 | 294 | 294 | 86 | 86 | 70 |
| 2.5 | 418 | 370 | 370 | 342 | 342 | 90 | 90 | 70 |
| 3 | 462 | 417 | 417 | 385 | 385 | 94 | 94 | 70 |
| 3.5 | 501 | 460 | 460 | 425 | 425 | 97 | 97 | 70 |
| 4 | 537 | 499 | 499 | 461 | 461 | 99 | 99 | 70 |
| 4.5 | 570 | 534 | 534 | 494 | 494 | 102 | 102 | 70 |
| 5 | 600 | 566 | 566 | 524 | 524 | 104 | 104 | 70 |
| 5.5 | 627 | 595 | 595 | 552 | 552 | 107 | 107 | 70 |
| 6 | 651 | 622 | 622 | 576 | 576 | 109 | 109 | 70 |
| 6.5 | 674 | 646 | 646 | 599 | 599 | 110 | 110 | 70 |
| 7 | 694 | 668 | 668 | 620 | 620 | 112 | 112 | 70 |
| 7.5 | 713 | 688 | 688 | 638 | 638 | 113 | 113 | 70 |
| 8 | 730 | 706 | 706 | 655 | 655 | 115 | 115 | 70 |
| 8.5 | 745 | 723 | 723 | 671 | 671 | 116 | 116 | 70 |
| 9 | 759 | 738 | 738 | 685 | 685 | 117 | 117 | 70 |
| 9.5 | 772 | 751 | 751 | 698 | 698 | 118 | 118 | 70 |
| 10 | 783 | 764 | 764 | 709 | 709 | 119 | 119 | 70 |
| 11 | 803 | 786 | 786 | 730 | 730 | 121 | 121 | 70 |
| 12 | 820 | 804 | 804 | 746 | 746 | 122 | 122 | 70 |
| 13 | 834 | 818 | 818 | 760 | 760 | 123 | 123 | 70 |
| 14 | 845 | 831 | 831 | 772 | 772 | 124 | 124 | 70 |
| 15 | 854 | 841 | 841 | 781 | 781 | 125 | 125 | 70 |
| 16 | 862 | 849 | 849 | 789 | 789 | 125 | 125 | 70 |
| 17 | 869 | 856 | 856 | 796 | 796 | 126 | 126 | 70 |
| 18 | 874 | 862 | 862 | 801 | 801 | 126 | 126 | 70 |
| 19 | 879 | 867 | 867 | 806 | 806 | 127 | 127 | 70 |


| Time <br> (Hr) | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside Flue } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Flue } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Inside Masonry } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | T Outside Masonry | $\begin{gathered} T \\ \text { Inside Air } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Air } \end{gathered}$ | $T$ Inside plywood | $\begin{gathered} \text { T } \\ \text { Outside Plywood } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 882 | 871 | 871 | 809 | 809 | 127 | 127 | 70 |
| 21 | 885 | 874 | 874 | 812 | 812 | 127 | 127 | 70 |
| 22 | 888 | 877 | 877 | 815 | 815 | 127 | 127 | 70 |
| 23 | 890 | 879 | 879 | 817 | 817 | 127 | 127 | 70 |
| 24 | 892 | 881 | 881 | 819 | 819 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 25 | 893 | 883 | 883 | 820 | 820 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 26 | 894 | 884 | 884 | 821 | 821 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 27 | 895 | 885 | 885 | 822 | 822 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 28 | 896 | 886 | 886 | 823 | 823 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 29 | 897 | 886 | 886 | 824 | 824 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 30 | 897 | 887 | 887 | 824 | 824 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 31 | 898 | 888 | 888 | 825 | 825 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 32 | 898 | 888 | 888 | 825 | 825 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 33 | 898 | 888 | 888 | 826 | 826 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 34 | 899 | 889 | 889 | 826 | 826 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 35 | 899 | 889 | 889 | 826 | 826 | 128 | 128 | 70 |
| 36 | 899 | 889 | 889 | 826 | 826 | 128 | 128 | 70 |

